

# OOP and XML

Alain Frisch

<http://www.cduce.org/>  
Département d'Informatique  
École Normale Supérieure

ECOOP Panel, 2004-06-17



*“ The essence of XML:*

- *The problem it solves is not hard.*
- *It doesn't solve it very well. ”*

P. Wadler (*The Essence of XML* - POPL 2003)



# XML and OO: common interests

	OO	XML
Extensibility	Subclassing	New tags
Interfaces	Method prototypes	Schemas
Modularity	Classes	Namespaces
Componentization	Classes	Structural types
Self-description	RT class info, inspection	Tag names



# Antogonistic points of view

*Antagonistic*: adj, incapable of harmonious association.

## XML

XML is all about data.

- Data-model
- Expose data
- Types for data
- Global+transparent view of data
- Data exist before operations

## OO

OO is all about hiding data.

- Programming paradigm
- Hide/encapsulate data
- Types for operations
- Local+opaque view of data
- Data is just the place where operations occur



*“XML is not OO.”*

(J.C. Clark, PLAN-X 2002)



# What is XML good for ?

Good at nothing, but intended for:

- storing data (that will outlive applications).
- exchanging data between unrelated applications.
- representing data within an application ?



# Programming language support for XML ?

Why ?

## Serialization

- XML as a persistent representation of application data ?
- Just a concrete representation for internal data structures.
- Issue: robustness w.r.t. evolution of the application.
- Not really interesting.

## Free the data !

- Componentization of applications *around* XML data (many small modules working on common data).
- Data-centric applications (query, transformation).



# Combining OO and XML ?

- Combine = “merge” ?
- Combine = “use together” ?





# Combining OO and XML ?

It's ok to use OO and XML together, which may require to merge *some* concepts of OO and XML.

- E.g.: type system that includes classes, basic data types, and XML schemas.

It's wrong to unify OO and XML data models, because they are antagonistic.

Data-binding approaches kill the benefits of XML.



For data-centric applications, it's a good idea to have support for the data model in the language.

*Functional programming ?*

```
<plug kind='shameless' demo='http://cduce/'>
```

**CDuce** = a typed functional language, with built-in support for XML (types, patterns, iterators), first-class+overloaded functions, dynamic dispatch on types, ...

```
</plug>
```

If XML is just a concrete representation of application data, the application doesn't need the full flexibility of XML and XML types. No special support in the language, just write I/O functions by hand (or not).



- Combined data model.
- Foundation of type systems, subtyping, ...
- Structural vs. named types.
- Extending XML / extending classes.
- XML manipulation paradigms (pattern matching, paths, queries, filters, iteration, navigation). Can they benefit to “standard” objects ?
- Algorithmic issues (dispatch on XML types, efficient functional manipulation of XML).

